Structure Condition Summary Form

Structure Name Trunk Road Culvert

Structure Number 13

Date of InspectionJune 3, 2022Project No.22035

Consultant HP Engineering Inc.

Element Group	Element Name	Unit (Qty.)	Unit Price (MTO)	Total Element Quantity	Element Qty. in Excellent Condition (1.00)	Element Quantity in Good Condition (0.75)	Fair	Element Quantity in Poor Condition (0)	Total Replacement Value (TRV)	Current Element Value (CEV)	Element Condition Index	Performance Deficiency	Maintenance Need
Approaches	Wearing Surface	Sq.m	6.00	498.00	0.00	96.00	398.00	2.00	2988	1387	46	09	00
Culvert	Barrel	Sq.m	350.00	335.12	0.00	335.12	0.00	0.00	117292	87969	75	00	00
Retaining Walls	Walls	Sq.m	350.00	27.00	0.00	27.00	0.00	0.00	9450	7088	75	00	00
									129730	96444			_

Bridge Condition Index (BCI)	74	
I _t	0	Importance Factor for Traffic
I _c	0	Importance Factor for Economic Impacts
I _w	0	Importance Factor for Bridge Width
I _p	0	Importance Factor for Bridge Profile or Alignment
Bridge Sufficiency Index (BSI)	74	

INVENTORY DATA	:							
Structure Name	Trunk Road Culvert	_						
			Crossi		Navigable Wat	er 🗆	Non- Navigal	ole Water
Main Hwy/Road #	On \blacksquare	Under \square	Type:		Rail 🗆	Road	Ped □	Other \square
Road Name:	Trunk Road							
Structure Location	200m west of McNutt Road,	Lot 31, Con 9	Bonfield	Ontario o	ver Sharpes Cree	ek		
Latitude	46° 16' 5" N		Longit	tude		79° 2	2' 51" W	
Owner(s)	Township of Bonfield		_ Herita		Not Cons.	Cons./Not Ap	pp. 🗆 List/Ì	Not Desig.
			Design	nation	Desig./not List		Desig. & List	
MTO Region	Northeastern		_ Road (Class:	Freeway	Arterial	Collector \square	Local
MTO District	Sudbury		Posted	d Speed		No. of L	anes	2
Old County	Nipissing		AADT	Γ		% Truck		<u>-</u>
Geographic Twp.	Bonfield		_ Specia	al Routes	Transit \square	Truck \square	School	Bicycle \square
Structure Type	Horizontal Ellipse CSP		- Detou	r Length A	Around			
		_	Structi				_	_(km)
Total Deck Length	4.6	(m)	Fill on	Structure			2	_(m)
Overall Str. Width	21.3	(m)	Skew	Angle			3.5	_(Degrees)
Total Deck Area	196	(m ²)	Direct	ion of Str	ructure East/We		/West	_
Roadway Width	8.3	(m)	No. of	f Spans	-		2	_(m)
Span Lengths	4.6, 4.6	(m)						
HISTORICAL DATA		_						
Year Built	2017			Last Bie	nnial Inspection		Aug	gust 6, 2020
Current Load Limit		(to	onnes)	Last Bri	dge Master Insp	ection		
Load Limit By-Law#				Last Eva				
By-Law Expiry Date			`		derwater Inspect	ion		
Min. Vertical Clearanc	e	(n	n)	Last Co	ndition Survey			
Rehabilitation History Structure replaced in 20	y: (Date / Description) 017.							
	017.							

CULVERT Site No.: 13

FIELD INSPECTION 1	FIELD INSPECTION INFORMATION				
Date of Inspection:	June 03, 2022				
Inspector:	Tashi Dwivedi, P.Eng., HP Engineering				
Others in Party:	Nicholas Brown, HP Engineering				
Equipment Used:	Digital camera, measuring tape, hammer				
Weather:	Partly Cloudy				
Temperature:	24 °C				

ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION REQUIRED		Estimated		
ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION REQUIRED	None	Normal	Urgent	Cost
Detailed Deck Condition Survey:	X			\$
Bridge Rehabilitation / Replacement Study:			X	\$ 5,000.00
Detailed Coating Condition Survey:	X			\$
Underwater Investigation:	X			\$
Fatigue Investigation:	X			\$
Seismic Investigation:	X			\$
Structural Evaluation:	X			\$
Load Posting - Estimated Load			Total Cost	\$ 5,000.00

Special Notes:

Rehabilitation/replacement study is for culvert barrier.

Install code complaint traffic barrier including code compliant end treatments. Patched potholes and moderate to severe ravelling noted on approach wearing surface. Broken post noted on north barrier and loose cable observed on both barriers.

Beaver dam observed at south end of east barrel.

Barrel is generally in good condition.

Next Detailed Inspection:	June 2024

Susj	pected Performance Deficiencies				
00	None	06	Bearing not uniformly loaded/unstable	12	Slippery surfaces
01	Load carrying capacity	07	Jammed expansion joint	13	Flooding/channel blockage
02	Excessive deformations (deflections & rotation)	08	Pedestrian/vehicular hazard	14	Undermining of foundation
03	Continuing settlement	09	Rough riding surface	15	Unstable embankments
04	Continuing movements	10	Surface ponding	16	Other
05	Seized bearings	11	Deck drainage		
Mai	ntenance Needs				
01	Lift and swing bridge maintenance	07	Repair of structural steel	13	Erosion control at bridges
02	Bridge cleaning	08	Repair of bridge concrete	14	Concrete sealing
03	Bridge handrail maintenance	09	Repair of bridge timber	15	Rout and seal
04	Painting steel bridge structures	10	Bailey bridges maintenance	16	Bridge deck drainage
05	Bridge deck joint repair	11	Animal/pest control	17	Scaling (loose concrete of ACR steel)
06	Bridge bearing maintenance	12	Bridge surface repair	18	Other

ELEMENT DATA								
Element Group:	Approaches			Length	:	28 m		
Element Name:	Barrier			Width:		-		
Location:	North & South Side	es of Structure		Height	:	0.75	m	
Material:	Steel			Count:		2		
Element Type:	Three Steel Cables	on Wood Posts		Total Quantity: 56				
Environment:	Moderate			Not Ins	spected:			
Protection System	Hot-Dipped Galvar	nized					Performance	Maintenance
Units	Excellent	Good		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
m	-	50	6		-		08	18
shou		on. Posts are weathered we damaged posts noted. So both barriers.						
None		1 − 5 years		< 1 y	year =		Urgent	
Element Group:	Approaches			Length	:	30 m		
Element Name:	Wearing Surface			Width:	Width: 8.3 m		1	
Location:	East & West of Str	ucture		Height: -		-		
Material:	Surface Treatment			Count:		2		
Element Type:	Wearing Surface			Total (Quantity:	498 ı	m²	
Environment:	Severe			Not Ins	spected:			
Protection System	None						Performance	Maintenance
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			Deficiencies	Needs
m²	<u>-</u>	96	398	2		09		-
	hed potholes and mod	derate ravelling observed	on wearing surfa	ace. Smal	l potholes forming	in wea	aring surface at east ap	proach. Heavy
wasi None ■	iout noted at southwe	est corner. 1 − 5 years □		< 1 y	year 🔲		Urgent □	
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>			
Element Group:	Culvert			Length	:	21.3	m	
Element Name:	Barrel			Width:		4.6 n	1	
Location:	Below Roadway			Height	<u> </u>	2.7 n	1	
Material:	Corrugated Steel			Count:		2		
Element Type:	Structural Plate CS	P		Total (Quantity:	335.1	12 m²	
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	spected:			
Protection System	Polymer Coating						Performance	Maintenance
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs
m²	-	335.12	-		-		-	-
Comments: Barr	els are generally in g	ood condition. Beaver da	m observed at so	outh end c	of east barrel.			
None		1 − 5 years □		< 1 x	vear □		Urgent □	

Element Group:	Foundations			Length: -			-		
Element Name:	Foundations (below	v ground level)		Width: -		-	-		
Location:	Below Barrel			Height	:	-			
Material:	Unknown			Count:		-			
Element Type:	Unknown			Total Quantity: -					
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	Unknown						Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
N/A	-	-	-		-		-	-	
Comments: No	visible evidence of fo	undation instability noted	l at time of inspe	ection.					
None		1 – 5 years □		< 1 y	year □		Urgent □		
					<u> </u>				
Element Group:	Retaining Walls			Length	:	4.5m			
Element Name:	Walls			Width:		0.75	m		
Location:	NE, NW, SE & SW	of Structure		Height	:	1.5 m	1.5 m		
Material:	Pre-cast Concrete I	Pre-cast Concrete Block			Count: 4				
Element Type:	Pre-cast Block Reta	aining Wall		Total (Quantity:	27 m	2		
Environment:	Moderate			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	Unknown			l			Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			Deficiencies	Needs	
m ²	-	27	-	-			-	-	
Comments: Reta	aining walls are gener	ally in good condition.							
None		1 − 5 years		< 1 y	year □		Urgent □		
Element Group:	Embankment and S	Streams		Length	:	-			
Element Name:	Embankments			Width:		-			
Location:	NE, NW, SE & SW	of Structure		Height	:	-			
Material:	Native			Count:		4			
Element Type:	Embankment				Quantity:	4			
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	Concrete Walls						Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
each	-	3	-	1			-	13	
Comments: Moo									
	derate to steep slope, thwest corner.	well vegetated and appear	r stable. Light er	osion not	ed at the northwes	t emba	nkment. Severe erosion	n observed at	

Element Group:	Embankment and Streams			Length:		-				
Element Name:	Slope Protection			Width:		-	-			
Location:	NE, NW, SE & SW	V of Structure		Height	:	-				
Material:	Rock		Count:		-					
Element Type:	Rock Slope Protect	tion		Total (Quantity:	4				
Environment:	Moderate			Not Ins	pected:					
Protection System	None						Performance	Maintenance		
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs		
each	-	4	-		-		-	-		
Comments: Slo	pe protection on emb	ankments and over culver	t is generally in	good con	dition.					
None		1 – 5 years □		< 1 y	ear 🔲		Urgent			
Element Group:	Embankment and S	Streams		Length	:	-				
Element Name:	Streams and Water	ways		Width: -						
Location:	Below Barrels			Height	1	-				
Material:	Native			Count:		-				
Element Type:	Streams			Total (Quantity:	-				
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	pected:					
Protection System	None						Performance	Maintenance		
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs		
%	=	100	-		-		1	18		
Comments: Mod	derate volume and mo	oderate flow from south to	north. Beaver o	lam obser	ved at south end o	of east b	parrel.			
None 		1 − 5 years		< 1 y	∕ear □		Urgent □			

REPAIR AND REHABILITA	ATION REQUIRED		Priority			
Element	Repair and Rehabilitation Required	6 - 10 Years	1 - 5 Years	< 1 year	Cost	
Approaches	Install approach guiderail			X	\$	57.000.00
					\$	-
					\$	-
					\$	-
					\$	-
					\$	-
					\$	-
					\$	-
					\$	-
				Total Cost	\$	57,000.00

ASSOCIATED WORK	Comments	Estimated Cost
Approaches		
Detours		
Traffic Control		
Utilities		
Right of Way		
Environmental Study		
Other		
Contingencies		
	Total Cost	\$

JUSTIFICATION		



Photo 1 Structure from east approach



Photo 2 Structure from west approach



Photo 3 East approach from centre of structure



Photo 4 West approach from centre of structure



Photo 5 North elevation



Photo 6 South elevation



Photo 7 Broken post observed in north barrier



Photo 8 Moderate ravelling, patched potholes noted in east approach wearing surface



Photo 9 Interior of east culvert barrel looking south



Photo 10 Typical west culvert barrel looking north



Photo 11 Heavy washout noted at southwest corner over structure



Photo 12 Typical pre-cast concrete block retaining wall at southwest corner of culvert

Structure Condition Summary Form

Structure Name Trout Pond Road Culvert

Structure Number 14

Date of InspectionJune 3, 2022Project No.22035

Consultant HP Engineering Inc.

Element Group	Element Name	Unit (Qty.)	Unit Price (MTO)	Total Element Quantity	Excellent	Good	Element Quantity in Fair Condition (0.4)	Element Quantity in Poor Condition (0)	Total Replacement Value (TRV)	Current Element Value (CEV)	Element Condition Index	Performance Deficiency	Maintenance Need
Approaches	Wearing Surface	Sq.m	6.00	396.00	0.00	380.00	16.00	1.00	2376	1748	74	00	12
Culvert	Barrel	Sq.m	350.00	57.69	0.00	2.69	30.00	25.00	20192	4906	24	01	00
												_	
									22568	6655			

Bridge Condition Index (BCI)	29	
I _t	0	Importance Factor for Traffic
I _c	0	Importance Factor for Economic Impacts
$I_{\rm w}$	0	Importance Factor for Bridge Width
I _p	0	Importance Factor for Bridge Profile or Alignment
Bridge Sufficiency Index (BSI)	29	

INVENTORY DATA:	:								
Structure Name	Trout Pond Road	Culvert							
ı				Crossii		Navigable Wat	ter 🗆	Non- Navig	gable Water
Main Hwy/Road #		On 	Under □	Type:		Rail 🗆	Road	Ped □	Other \square
Road Name:	Trout Pond Road								
Structure Location	400m North of De	evelopment	Road, Lot 2	1, Con 7 Bc	onfield Or	ntario over Blues	seal Creek		
Latitude	46	5° 14' 24" N		Longit	tude		79° :	5' 29" W	
Owner(s)	Township of Bonf	field		Heritag		Not Cons.	Cons./Not A	pp. 🗆 Lis	st/Not Desig.
ı				Design —	nation	Desig./not List	: 	Desig. & Lis	ıst 🗆
MTO Region	Northeastern			Road (Class:	Freeway	Arterial	Collector [□ Local ■
MTO District	Sudbury			Posted	d Speed	50 km/h	No. of L	anes	1
Old County	Nipissing			AADT	ſ		% Truck	ξS	<u> </u>
Geographic Twp.	Bonfield			Specia	al Routes	Transit 🗆	Truck \square	School 🗆	☐ Bicycle ☐
Structure Type	Horizontal Ellipse	÷ CSP			ır Length A	Around			
				Structu				_	(km)
Total Deck Length	2.4		(m)		n Structure	3 .		0.1	(m)
Overall Str. Width	8.7		(m)	Skew A				10	、 5
Total Deck Area	20.9		(m ²)		tion of Stri	ucture		-W	_
Roadway Width	6.6		(m)	No. of	f Spans			1	(m)
Span Lengths	2.4		(m)						
HISTORICAL DATA									
Year Built		1970 (est))		Last Bie	ennial Inspection	ı	A	August 7, 2020
Current Load Limit			((tonnes)		idge Master Insp	ection		
Load Limit By-Law #					Last Un		***		
By-Law Expiry Date Min. Vertical Clearance				(m)		nderwater Inspect ondition Survey	.ion		
			`			numon ser.			
Rehabilitation History	: (Date / Descriptio)n)							
ĺ									

CULVERT Site No.: 14

FIELD INSPECTION I	NFORMATION
Date of Inspection:	June 03, 2022
Inspector:	Tashi Dwivedi, P.Eng., HP Engineering
Others in Party:	Nicholas Brown, HP Engineering
Equipment Used:	Digital camera, measuring tape, hammer
Weather:	Sunny
Temperature:	22 °C

ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION REQUIRED		Estimated		
ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION REQUIRED	None	Normal	Urgent	Cost
Detailed Deck Condition Survey:	X			\$
Bridge Rehabilitation / Replacement Study:			X	\$ 20,000.00
Detailed Coating Condition Survey:	X			\$
Underwater Investigation:	X			\$
Fatigue Investigation:	X			\$
Seismic Investigation:	X			\$
Structural Evaluation:	X			\$
Load Posting - Estimated Load			Total Cost	\$ 20,000.00

Rehabilitation/replacement study is for traffic barrier and structure. Monitoring of barrel deformation is recommended. No traffic barrier observed at structure. Code complaint approach barrier should be installed. Severe corrosion and perforations observed in barrel and efflorescence noted at bolts and seams.

Posts installed around structure at west in an effort to restrict erosion. It is recommended that the culvert barrel be replaced in 1-5 years.

Next Detailed Inspection:	June 2024

Susp	pected Performance Deficiencies				
00	None	06	Bearing not uniformly loaded/unstable	12	Slippery surfaces
01	Load carrying capacity	07	Jammed expansion joint	13	Flooding/channel blockage
02	Excessive deformations (deflections & rotation)	08	Pedestrian/vehicular hazard	14	Undermining of foundation
03	Continuing settlement	09	Rough riding surface	15	Unstable embankments
04	Continuing movements	10	Surface ponding	16	Other
05	Seized bearings	11	Deck drainage		
Mai	ntenance Needs				
01	Lift and swing bridge maintenance	07	Repair of structural steel	13	Erosion control at bridges
02	Bridge cleaning	08	Repair of bridge concrete	14	Concrete sealing
03	Bridge handrail maintenance	09	Repair of bridge timber	15	Rout and seal
04	Painting steel bridge structures	10	Bailey bridges maintenance	16	Bridge deck drainage
05	Bridge deck joint repair	11	Animal/pest control	17	Scaling (loose concrete or ACR steel)
06	Bridge bearing maintenance	12	Bridge surface repair	18	Other

Element Group:	Approaches			Length	.:	-			
Element Name:	Barriers			Width:	;	-			
Location:	NE, NW, SE & SW	√ of Structure		Height:	:	-			
Material:	-			Count:		-			
Element Type:	-			Total C	Quantity:	-			
Environment:	-			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	-						Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
m	-	-	-		-		08	-	
Comments: No approach	ch barrier observed at	time of inspection. A cod	le compliant bar	rier includ	ding end treatment	ts shoul	ld be installed.		
None 🔲		1 − 5 years		< 1 y	year T		Urgent		
<u> </u>									
Element Group:	Approaches			Length	<u> </u>	30 m	1		
Element Name:	Wearing Surface			Width:		6.6 m			
Location:	North & South of S			Height:	:	-			
Material:	Gravel			Count:	-	2	2		
Element Type:	Gravel Wearing Su	ırface		Total (Quantity:	396 r	m²		
Environment:	Severe			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	None					-	Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
m²		380	16		1	- 12			
	nerally in good conditi	ion with loose gravel obse	erved on edges a	pproach r	coadway. Washout	observ	ved at east and west sid	les of wearing	
suri None □	ace near curvert.	1 − 5 years		< 1 y	year 🔲		Urgent		
					<u>· </u>		<u> </u>		
Element Group:	Culvert			Length		8.7 m	m		
Element Name:	Barrel			Width:		2.4 m			
Location:	Below Roadway			Height:		1.8 m			
Material:	Corrugated Steel			Count:		1			
Element Type:	Structural Plate CS	BP			Quantity:	57.69	9 m²		
Environment:	Benign				spected:				
Protection System	Hot-Dip Galvanize	ed .					Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
m²	_	2.69	30		25		01	-	
Eff1 that	lorescence at seams ar t the structure be repla	forations at and below wa nd a dent was noted at inleaded from 1 – 5 years. A p el. Monitoring of barrel de 1 – 5 years	let (west). Debris piece of CSP fron	s build up o m a differe	observed in structurent structure was f	ture. Ba	arrel is deformed. It is	recommended	

Element Group:	Foundations			Length	:	-		
Element Name:	Foundations (below	v ground level)		Width:		-		
Location:	Below Barrel			Height:		-		
Material:	Unknown			Count:		-		
Element Type:	Unknown			Total Q	Quantity:	-		
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	spected:			
Protection System	Unknown			,			Performance	Maintenance
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs
N/A	-	-	-		-		-	-
		deformation may be a re	sult of foundatio	n settlem	ent / movement. N	lo signs	s of structure settlemen	t from top of
road None	dway.	1 − 5 years		< 1 y	year 🔲		Urgent □	
<u> </u>		, 1						
Element Group:	Embankment and S	Straams		Length	•	_		
Element Name:	Streams and Water			Width:		_		
Location:	Below Structure	ways		Height:		- -		
Material:	Native Structure			Count: -				
Element Type:	Stream			Total Quantity: All				
Environment:	Benign				spected:			
Protection System	None			1100	peticui			
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Performance Deficiencies	Maintenance Needs
All	-	-	All	-		-	18 - Remove Channel	
Comments: Lov	w volume and modera	te flow from west to east	with trees and do	ebris locat	ted in barrel.			Blockage
None □		1 5 voors 🖂		< 1 x			Herant 🗆	
None		1 − 5 years □		< 1 y	year		Urgent	
								
Element Group:	Embankment and Streams			Length: -		-		
		Embankments						
Element Name:	Embankments			Width:		-		
Location:		√ of Structure				-		
Location: Material:	Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native	V of Structure		Width: Height: Count:	:	- 4		
Location: Material: Element Type:	Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW	V of Structure		Width: Height: Count:	: Quantity:	-		
Location: Material:	Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native	V of Structure		Width: Height: Count:	:	- 4		
Location: Material: Element Type:	Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment	V of Structure		Width: Height: Count:	: Quantity:	4	Performance	Maintenance
Location: Material: Element Type: Environment:	Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment Benign	V of Structure Good	Fair	Width: Height: Count:	: Quantity:	4	Performance Deficiencies	Maintenance Needs
Location: Material: Element Type: Environment: Protection System Units each	Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment Benign None Excellent	Good -	2	Width: Height: Count: Total Q Not Ins	Quantity: spected: Poor	4 4	Deficiencies -	Needs 13
Location: Material: Element Type: Environment: Protection System Units each	Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment Benign None Excellent		2	Width: Height: Count: Total Q Not Ins	Quantity: spected: Poor	4 4	Deficiencies -	Needs 13

REPAIR AND REHA	BILITATION REQUIRED		Priority					
Element	Repair and Rehabilitation Required	6 - 10 Years	1 - 5 Years	< 1 year		Cost		
Approaches	Install Code Compliant Approach Barrier			X	\$	-		
Barrel	Replace barrel		X		\$	207,000.00		
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
					\$	-		
				Total Cost	\$	207,000.00		

ASSOCIATED WORK Comments			
Approaches			
Detours	Culvert Replacement	\$	100,000.00
Traffic Control	Culvert Replacement	\$	60,000.00
Utilities			
Right of Way			
Environmental Study	Culvert Replacement	\$	10,000.00
Other			
Contingencies			
	Total Cost	\$	170,000.00

JUSTIFICATION		



Photo 1 Structure from north approach



Photo 2 Structure from south approach



Photo 3 North approach from centre of structure



Photo 4 South approach from centre of structure



Photo 5 East elevation



Photo 6 West elevation



Photo 7 Erosion of edge of shoulder at west end of structure



Photo 8 Dents noted at west end of barrel



Photo 9 Typical view of culvert barrel looking west



Photo 10 Efflorescence noted at seams and along boltline



Photo 11 Severe perforation noted at and below waterline



Photo 12 Damaged section of CSP in barrel, does not appears to be from this structure

Structure Condition Summary Form

Structure Name Development Road Culvert

Structure Number 15

Date of InspectionJune 3, 2022Project No.22035

Consultant HP Engineering Inc.

Element Group	Element Name	Unit (Qty.)	Unit Price (MTO)	Total Element Quantity	Excellent	Good	Element Quantity in Fair Condition (0.4)	Element Quantity in Poor Condition (0)	Total Replacement Value (TRV)	Current Element Value (CEV)	Element Condition Index	Performance Deficiency	Maintenance Need
Approaches	Wearing Surface	Sq.m	6.00	408.00	0.00	386.00	20.00	2.00	2448	1785	73	00	00
Culvert	Barrel	Sq.m	350.00	200.94	0.00	200.94	0.00	0.00	70329	52747	75	00	00
									72777	54532			

Bridge Condition Index (BCI)	75	
I _t	0	Importance Factor for Traffic
I _c	0	Importance Factor for Economic Impacts
I _w	0	Importance Factor for Bridge Width
Ip	0	Importance Factor for Bridge Profile or Alignment
Bridge Sufficiency Index (BSI)	75	

INVENTORY DATA:	:								
Structure Name	Develop	ment Road Culv	ert						
					ssing	Navigable Water	er 🗆	Non- Navigab	ole Water
Main Hwy/Road #		Or	under	Туре	e:	Rail 🗆	Road	Ped □	Other
Road Name:	Developi	ment Road							
Structure Location	Lot 16, C	Con 6 Bonfield (Ontario over Blu	eseal Creel	k, 300m eas	t of Line 3 S.			
Latitude		46° 13' 52	<u>!" N</u>	Long	gitude		79° (6' 35" W	
Owner(s)	Township	p of Bonfield			itage	Not Cons.	Cons./Not Aj	pp. 🗆 List/N	Not Desig.
				Desi	ignation	Desig./not List		Desig. & List	
MTO Region	Northeas	tern		Road	d Class:	Freeway	Arterial	Collector	Local
MTO District	Sudbury			Post	ted Speed	80 km/h	No. of L	anes	2
Old County	Nipissing	3		AAI	DT		% Truck	.s	
Geographic Twp.	Bonfield			Spec	cial Routes	Transit \square	Truck \square	School \square	Bicycle \square
Structure Type	Horizont	al Ellipse CSP		— Deta	our Length A	A round			
					our Length A icture	Afound -			_(km)
Total Deck Length		3.55	(m)	Fill	on Structure		0).9	_(m)
Overall Str. Width		21.3	(m)	Skev	w Angle	-	51	1.7	_(Degrees)
Total Deck Area		75.15	(m ²)	Dire	ection of Str	ucture _	N	I-S	_
Roadway Width		6.8	(m)	No.	of Spans	=		1	_(m)
Span Lengths		3.55	(m)						
HISTORICAL DATA									
Year Built	_	1970	(est)		Last Bie	ennial Inspection		Auş	gust 7, 2020
Current Load Limit	_			_(tonnes)	Last Bri	dge Master Inspe	ection		
Load Limit By-Law#	_			_	Last Eva	aluation			
By-Law Expiry Date	_			_		derwater Inspect	ion		
Min. Vertical Clearance	e			_(m)	Last Co	ndition Survey			
Rehabilitation History	y: (Date / D	escription)							

CULVERT Site No.: 15

FIELD INSPECTION I	NFORMATION
Date of Inspection:	June 03, 2022
Inspector:	Tashi Dwivedi, P.Eng., HP Engineering
Others in Party:	Nicholas Brown, HP Engineering
Equipment Used:	Digital camera, measuring tape, hammer
Weather:	Sunny
Temperature:	23 °C

ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION DEGLIDED		Priority		Estimated
ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION REQUIRED	None	Normal	Urgent	Cost
Detailed Deck Condition Survey:	X			\$
Rehabilitation / Replacement Study:		X		\$ 5,000.00
Detailed Coating Condition Survey:	X			\$
Underwater Investigation:	X			\$
Fatigue Investigation:	X			\$
Seismic Investigation:	X			\$
Structural Evaluation:	X			\$
Load Posting - Estimated Load			Total Cost	\$ 5,000.00

Special Notes:

Overall, structure is appeared to be generally in good condition.

No approach barrier observed at time of inspection. Code compliant barrier including end treatments should be installed.

Approach wearing surface at west appear to be paved. Surface treatment at east approach has medium to wide longitudinal cracks with patches throughout. Some small potholes forming at east side. Electric fence noted at inlet.

Next Detailed Inspection:	June 2024

Sus	pected Performance Deficiencies				
00	None	06	Bearing not uniformly loaded/unstable	12	Slippery surfaces
01	Load carrying capacity	07	Jammed expansion joint	13	Flooding/channel blockage
02	Excessive deformations (deflections & rotation)	08	Pedestrian/vehicular hazard	14	Undermining of foundation
03	Continuing settlement	09	Rough riding surface	15	Unstable embankments
04	Continuing movements	10	Surface ponding	16	Other
05	Seized bearings	11	Deck drainage		
Mai	ntenance Needs				
01	Lift and swing bridge maintenance	07	Repair of structural steel	13	Erosion control at bridges
02	Bridge cleaning	08	Repair of bridge concrete	14	Concrete sealing
03	Bridge handrail maintenance	09	Repair of bridge timber	15	Rout and seal

02	Bridge cleaning	08	Repair of bridge concrete	14	Concrete sealing
03	Bridge handrail maintenance	09	Repair of bridge timber	15	Rout and seal
04	Painting steel bridge structures	10	Bailey bridges maintenance	16	Bridge deck drainage
05	Bridge deck joint repair	11	Animal/pest control	17	Scaling (Loose concrete or ACR steel)
0.0	and the state of t	10	To the second se		

18 Other

Bridge bearing maintenance 12 Bridge surface repair

ELEMENT DATA									
Element Group:	Approaches			Length	i:	-			
Element Name:	Barrier			Width:		-			
Location:	NE, NW, SE & SW	V of Structure		Height:	:	-			
Material:	-			Count:		-			
Element Type:	-			Total Q	Quantity:	-			
Environment:	Severe			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	None						Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
m		-			-		08	-	
Comments: No a	approach barrier obse	erved at time of inspection	1. A code compli	ant barrie	r including end tre	eatmen	ts should be installed.		
None □		1 − 5 years		< 1 y	year I		Urgent □		
		<u> </u>							
Element Group:	Approaches			Length		30 m			
Element Group.	Wearing Surface			Width:		6.8 m			
Location:	East & West of Str	noture		Height:		- 0.0 11	1		
Material:	Gravel wearing sur			Count: 2			2		
Element Type:	Wearing Surface	lace			Quantity:	408 r	2		
Environment:	Severe				spected:		11-		
Protection System	None			NULINS	specieu.				
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair	n			Performance Deficiencies	Maintenance Needs	
m ²	Excenent -	386	20		Poor 2		_	-	
				near to be		eatmen	nent at east approach has medium to wide		
		patches throughout. Some				zaunen	t at east approach has h	nedium to wide	
None		1 − 5 years		< 1 y	year		Urgent		
Element Group:	Culvert			Length	1:	21.3	m		
Element Name:	Barrel			Width:		3.55	m		
Location:	Below Roadway			Height:	:	2.4 m	1		
Material:	Corrugated Steel			Count:		1			
Element Type:	Structural Plate CS	P		Total C	Quantity:	200.9	94 m²		
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	Hot-Dip Galvanize	d					Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
m²	-	200.94	-		-		-	-	
Comments: Culv	vert barrel appears to	be generally in good cond	dition. Electric fo	ence noted	d at inlet.				
None ■		1 − 5 years		< 1 y	year 🔲		Urgent		

Element Group:	Foundations			Length: -				
Element Name:	Foundations (below	v ground level)	Width: -		-	-		
Location:	-			Height	:	-		
Material:	Unknown			Count:		-		
Element Type:	Unknown			Total (Quantity:	-		
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	pected:			
Protection System	Unknown						Performance	Maintenance
Units	Excellent	Good		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
N/A							-	
Comments: No	visible evidence of fo	undation instability noted	l at time of inspe	ection.				
None		1 − 5 years		< 1 y	⁄ear □		Urgent 🗌	
				ı				
Element Group:	Embankment and S	Streams		Length	:	-		
Element Name:	Streams and Water	ways		Width:		-		
Location:	Below Structure			Height	:	-		
Material:	Native			Count: -		-		
Element Type:	Stream			Total Quantity: All				
	Desire	NT 4 T	4.1					
Environment:	Benign		Not Ins	pected:				
Protection System	None	<u> </u>		Not Ins	pected:		Performance	Maintenance
		Good	Fair	Not Ins	Poor		Performance Deficiencies	Maintenance Needs
Protection System	None	Good All	Fair -	Not Ins	•			
Protection System Units All	None Excellent -		-		Poor -		Deficiencies -	Needs -
Protection System Units All	None Excellent -	All v flow from south to nort	-	etation no	Poor - oted at upstream. S		Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moo	None Excellent -	All	-		Poor - oted at upstream. S		Deficiencies -	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moo	None Excellent - derate volume and lov	All v flow from south to nort 1 − 5 years □	-	etation no	Poor - oted at upstream. S	Sedimen	Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moo	None Excellent - derate volume and lov Embankment and S	All v flow from south to nort 1 − 5 years □	-	etation no	Poor - oted at upstream. S //ear -	Sedimen	Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moon None Element Group: Element Name:	None Excellent - derate volume and lov Embankment and S Embankments	All v flow from south to nort 1 − 5 years Streams	-	etation no < 1 y Length Width:	Poor - oted at upstream. S //ear -	Gedimer	Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moon None Element Group: Element Name: Location:	Embankment and S Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW	All v flow from south to nort 1 − 5 years Streams	-	etation no < 1 y Length Width: Height	Poor - oted at upstream. S //ear - :	edimer	Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Mod None Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material:	Embankment and S Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native	All v flow from south to nort 1 − 5 years Streams	-	etation no <1 y Length Width: Height Count:	Poor - oted at upstream. S //ear	4	Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moor None Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type:	Embankment and S Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment	All v flow from south to nort 1 − 5 years Streams	-	Length Width: Height Count:	Poor - oted at upstream. Solvear : :	4 4	Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moor Mone None Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type: Environment:	Embankment and S Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment Benign	All v flow from south to nort 1 − 5 years Streams	-	Length Width: Height Count:	Poor - oted at upstream. S //ear	4	Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel Urgent	Needs
Protection System Units All Comments: Mod None Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type: Environment: Protection System	Excellent - derate volume and lov Embankment and S Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment Benign None	All v flow from south to nort 1 − 5 years □ Streams / of Structure	h with some veg	Length Width: Height Count:	Poor - oted at upstream. S //ear - : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	4 4	Deficiencies - nt buildup in the barrel	Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moon None Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type: Environment: Protection System Units	Embankment and S Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment Benign None Excellent	All v flow from south to nort 1 – 5 years Greams 7 of Structure Good	h with some veg	Length Width: Height Count:	Poor - oted at upstream. Solvear - :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: :	4 4	Performance Deficiencies	Needs
Protection System Units All Comments: Moor None Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type: Environment: Protection System Units each	Excellent - derate volume and lov Embankment and S Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment Benign None Excellent -	All v flow from south to nort 1 – 5 years Streams / of Structure Good 4	h with some veg	Length Width: Height Count: Total (Poor - oted at upstream. So wear	4 4	Performance Deficiencies - - Deficiencies - Performance Deficiencies -	Needs Maintenance Needs -
Protection System Units All Comments: Moor None Element Group: Element Name: Location: Material: Element Type: Environment: Protection System Units each	Excellent - derate volume and lov Embankment and S Embankments NE, NW, SE & SW Native Embankment Benign None Excellent -	All v flow from south to nort 1 – 5 years Greams 7 of Structure Good	h with some veg	Length Width: Height Count: Total (Poor - oted at upstream. So wear	4 4	Performance Deficiencies - - Deficiencies - Performance Deficiencies -	Needs Maintenance Needs -

REPAIR AND REHABII	ITATION REQUIRED		Priority				
Element	Repair and Rehabilitation Required	1 - 5 Years	< 1 year		Cost		
Approaches- Barrier	Install code compliant barrier and end treatments			X	\$	48,000.00	
					\$		
					\$	-	
					\$	-	
					\$	-	
					\$	-	
					\$	-	
					\$	-	
					\$	-	
				Total Cost	\$	48,000.00	

ASSOCIATED WORK	Comments	Estimated Cost
Approaches		
Detours		
Traffic Control		
Utilities		
Right of Way		
Environmental Study		
Other		
Contingencies		
	Total Cost	

JUSTIFICATION	



Photo 1 Structure from east approach



Photo 2 Structure from west approach



Photo 3 East approach from centre of structure



Photo 4 West approach from centre of structure



Photo 5 North elevation



Photo 6 South elevation



Photo 7 Medium to wide longitudinal cracks and patches noted at east approach



Photo 8 Typical view of culvert barrel looking south

Structure Condition Summary Form

Structure Name Development Road Culvert

Structure Number 16

Date of InspectionJune 3 2022Project No.22035

Consultant HP Engineering Inc.

Element Group	Element Name	Unit (Qty.)	Unit Price (MTO)	Total Element Quantity	Excellent	Good	Element Quantity in Fair Condition (0.4)	Element Quantity in Poor Condition (0)	Total Replacement Value (TRV)	Current Element Value (CEV)	Element Condition Index	Performance Deficiency	Maintenance Need
Approaches	Wearing Surface	Sq.m	6.00	396.00	0.00	331.00	60.00	5.00	2376	1634	69	00	12
Culvert	Barrel	Sq.m	350.00	289.44	0.00	169.44	100.00	20.00	101304	58478	58	01	18
			_						103680	60112			

Bridge Condition Index (BCI)	58	
I _t	0	Importance Factor for Traffic
I _c	0	Importance Factor for Economic Impacts
I _w	0	Importance Factor for Bridge Width
I _p	0	Importance Factor for Bridge Profile or Alignment
Bridge Sufficiency Index (BSI)	58	

INVENTORY DATA:	:									
Structure Name	Develop	ment Road (Sulvert							
						ossing	Navigable Wat	er 🗆	Non- Navigab	ole Water
Main Hwy/Road #			On \blacksquare	Under		pe:	Rail 🗆	Road	Ped □	Other \square
Road Name:	Develop	ment Road								
Structure Location	Lot 27, 0	Con 7 Bonfie	eld Ontari	o over Sha	rpes Cree	ek, 600m east	of Fichault Road	d		
Latitude		46° 1	4' 42" N		Lo	ongitude		79° 3	3' 27" W	
Owner(s)	Townsh	ip of Bonfiel	d			eritage	Not Cons.	Cons./Not Ap	pp. 🗆 List/N	Not Desig.
					De	esignation	Desig./not List		Desig. & List	
MTO Region	Northeas	stern			Ro	oad Class:	Freeway	Arterial	Collector 🗆	Local
MTO District	Sudbury	r			Po	sted Speed	80 km/h	No. of L	anes	2
Old County	Nipissin	ıg			AA	ADT		% Truck	.s	
Geographic Twp.	Bonfield	<u> </u>			Sp	ecial Routes	Transit 🗆	Truck \square	School	Bicycle \square
Structure Type	Horizon	tal Ellipse C	SP			etour Length A	A			
						ructure	Arounu -			_(km)
Total Deck Length		4.9		(m)	Fil	ll on Structure	-	1	.5	_(m)
Overall Str. Width		22.5		(m)	Sk	ew Angle	-	(0	_(Degrees)
Total Deck Area		110.25		(m ²)	Dir	Direction of Structure		East/	/West	_
Roadway Width		6.6		(m)	No	o. of Spans	-		1	_(m)
Span Lengths		4.9		(m)						
HISTORICAL DATA										
Year Built		1	980 (est)			Last Bie	ennial Inspection		Auş	gust 7, 2020
Current Load Limit	-				_(tonnes)) Last Bri	idge Master Inspe	ection		
Load Limit By-Law#	-				_	Last Eva	aluation			
By-Law Expiry Date	-				_		derwater Inspect	ion		
Min. Vertical Clearance	e _				_(m)	Last Co	ndition Survey			
Rehabilitation History	y: (Date / Γ	Description)								

CULVERT Site No.: 16

FIELD INSPECTION II	FIELD INSPECTION INFORMATION					
Date of Inspection:	June 03, 2022					
Inspector:	Tashi Dwivedi, P.Eng., HP Engineering					
Others in Party:	Nicholas Brown, HP Engineering					
Equipment Used:	Digital camera, measuring tape, hammer					
Weather:	Sunny					
Temperature:	_22 °C					

ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION DEGLIDED		Estimated		
ADDITIONAL INVESTIGATION REQUIRED	None	Normal	Urgent	Cost
Detailed Deck Condition Survey:	X			\$
Bridge Rehabilitation / Replacement Study:			X	\$ 20,000.00
Detailed Coating Condition Survey:	X			\$
Underwater Investigation:	X			\$
Fatigue Investigation:	X			\$
Seismic Investigation:	X			\$
Structural Evaluation:	X			\$
Load Posting - Estimated Load			Total Cost	\$ 20,000.00

Special Notes:

Rehabilitation/replacement study is for traffic barrier and structure
No approach barrier observed at time of inspection. Code compliant barrier including end treatments should be installed. Efflorescence and salt stains observed at bolts and seams of culvert. Cracks at the bolt line above waterline on west side of culvert. Structure should be replaced in 1 - 5 years.

Next Detailed Inspection:	June 2024

Sus	pected Performance Deficiencies				
00	None	06	Bearing not uniformly loaded/unstable	12	Slippery surfaces
01	Load carrying capacity	07	Jammed expansion joint	13	Flooding/channel blockage
02	Excessive deformations (deflections & rotation)	08	Pedestrian/vehicular hazard	14	Undermining of foundation
03	Continuing settlement	09	Rough riding surface	15	Unstable embankments
04	Continuing movements	10	Surface ponding	16	Other
05	Seized bearings	11	Deck drainage		

Maii	ntenance Needs				
01	Lift and swing bridge maintenance	07	Repair of structural steel	13	Erosion control at bridges
02	Bridge cleaning	08	Repair of bridge concrete	14	Concrete sealing
03	Bridge handrail maintenance	09	Repair of bridge timber	15	Rout and seal
04	Painting steel bridge structures	10	Bailey bridges maintenance	16	Bridge deck drainage
05	Bridge deck joint repair	11	Animal/pest control	17	Scaling (loose concrete or ACR steel)
06	Bridge bearing maintenance	12	Bridge surface repair	18	Other

ELEMENT DATA									
Element Group:	Approaches			Length	1:	-			
Element Name:	Barrier			Width:	1	-			
Location:	NE, NW, SE & SW	√ of Structure		Height: -		-			
Material:	-			Count:	:	-			
Element Type:	-			Total (Quantity:	-			
Environment:	Severe			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	None			•			Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
m	-	-	-				08	-	
Comments: No	approach barrier obse	n. Code compliar	nt approac	ch barrier includin	g end t	reatments should be in	stalled.		
None □			< 1	year 🗌		Urgent 			
_		1 − 5 years			, <u>1</u>		<u> </u>		
Element Group:	Approaches			Length	1:	30 m			
Element Name:	Wearing Surface			Width:	1	6.6 n	1		
Location:	East & West of Str	ucture		Height	:	-			
Material:	Surface Treatment			Count: 2					
Element Type:	Wearing Surface			Total (Quantity:	396 ı	m²		
Environment:	Severe			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	None						Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor			Deficiencies	Needs	
m²		331	60	5		-		12	
Nur surf		ks on north edge of the ros and edge deterioration n		oroach we	earing surfaces. Par		otholes noted through		
None		1 − 5 years		< 1	year		Urgent		
	T			ī		1			
Element Group:	Culvert			Length	1:	22.5	22.5 m		
Element Name:	Barrel			Width:	!	4.9 n	4.9 m		
Location:	Below Roadway			Height	•	3.2 n	1		
Material:	Corrugated Steel			Count:	:	1			
Element Type:	Structural Plate CS	P		Total (Quantity:	289.4	14 m²		
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	spected:				
Protection System	Hot-Dip Galvanize	d					Performance	Maintenance	
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs	
m²	-	169.44	100		20		01	18 – Install Bolts	
Effl		low water line. Corrosion ins observed at bolts and 1 – 5 years			ide (inlet) is perch			olts.	

Element Group:	Foundations				Length: -			
Element Name:	Foundations (below	v ground level)		Width: -				
Location:	-			Height	:	-		
Material:	Unknown			Count: -				
Element Type:	Unknown			Total (Quantity:	-		
Environment:	Benign			Not Ins	spected:			
Protection System	Unknown						Performance	Maintenance
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair		Poor		Deficiencies	Needs
N/A	-	-		-		-	-	
Comments: No	isible evidence of foundation instability noted at time of inspe							
None	1 – 5 years □				year 🔲		Urgent □	
							_	
Element Group:	Embankment and S	Streams	Length	:	_			
Element Name:	Embankments		Width:		_			
Location:	NE, NW, SE & SW of Structure					_		
Material:	Native		Height: - Count: 4					
Element Type:	Embankment			Total (Quantity:	4		
Environment:	Benign				spected:			
Protection System	None						Performance Deficiencies	Maintenance Needs
Units	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor				
each	-	4	-	-			-	-
Comments: Ste	ep sloped, well vegeta	ited, and stable. Fence tied	d to north end of	barrel.			'	
None		1 – 5 years □		< 1 y	year \sqcap		Urgent □	
		, e years 🗀			, 		3.g.m	
Element Group:	Embankment and S	Streams		Length		_		
Element Name:	Streams and Water			Width:				
Location:		ways						
	Below Barrel				Height: -			
Material:						-		
Material: Element Type:	Native			Count:		-		
Material: Element Type: Environment:	Native Stream			Count:	Quantity:	- All		
Element Type:	Native			Count:		-	Daufaumanaa	Maintanana
Element Type: Environment:	Native Stream Benign	Good	Fair	Count:	Quantity:	- All	Performance Deficiencies	Maintenance Needs
Element Type: Environment: Protection System	Native Stream Benign None	Good -	Fair All	Count:	Quantity: spected:	- All		Needs 18 - Remove
Element Type: Environment: Protection System Units All	Native Stream Benign None Excellent		All	Count: Total C Not Ins	Quantity: spected: Poor	- All	Deficiencies -	Needs 18 - Remove Obstruction
Element Type: Environment: Protection System Units All Comments: Lo	Native Stream Benign None Excellent - w to medium volume a	-	All outh to north thr	Count: Total C Not Ins	Quantity: spected: Poor - barrel with rocks i	- All	Deficiencies -	Needs 18 - Remove Obstruction

REPAIR AND REHABILITATION REQUIRED		Priority			Estimated	
Element	Repair and Rehabilitation Required	1 - 5 Years	< 1 year	Urgent	Cost	
Approaches	Install guiderail		X			
Barrel	Replace Structure	X			\$ 359,000.000	
Total Cost					\$ 359,000.00	

SSOCIATED WORK Comments		Estimated Cost	
Approaches			
Detours		\$	100,000.00
Traffic Control		\$	60,000.00
Utilities			
Right of Way			
Environmental Study		\$	10,000.00
Other			
Contingencies			
	Total Cost	\$	170,000.00

JUSTIFICATION	



Photo 1 Structure from east approach



Photo 2 Structure from west approach



Photo 3 East approach from centre of structure



Photo 4 West approach from centre of structure



Photo 5 North elevation



Photo 6 South elevation



Photo 7 Previous patches, moderate raveling and potholes forming at west approach



Photo 8 Light to moderate corrosion noted at waterline



Photo 9 Cracks at bolt line at the west side of structure



Photo 10 Typical view of culvert barrel looking south